



Safe nasendoscopy assisted procedure in the post-COVID-19 pandemic era

Ajith George^{1,2} | Mark Prince² | Chris Coulson^{2,3}

¹University Hospital North Midlands, Stoke on Trent, UK

²Endoscope-i Ltd, Birmingham, UK

³University Hospitals Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

Correspondence: Ajith George, University Hospital North Midlands, UK.

Email: a.george@keele.ac.uk

Funding information

The SNAP has been supported by a UK Government Innovate UK grant. This is to be kept confidential on request of Innovate UK.

For centuries, it has been humankind's instinct to cover the mouth and nose when coughing or sneezing. Common sense would dictate this instinctively reduces the dispersion of aerosol and droplets and thus the spread of contact and airborne infections.

Aerosol generating procedures (AGPs) have become a new by-word for procedures that put clinicians at increased risk of contracting COVID-19. Whilst the title suggests the risk is simply in aerosols, the science is much more interesting. Droplets and aerosols are different, with the distinction between them based on size. Whilst experts disagree on the absolute size when an aerosol becomes a droplet, the general acceptance is that anything bigger than 50 microns (0.05 mm) is a droplet and those smaller are aerosols.

In most contagious respiratory infections, the principal transmission agents are droplets.¹ This is due to the relatively high viral load in a droplet, purely due to its large size, and also the fact that large droplets have weight, and so gravity pulls them down onto surfaces that others can touch—so passing it on. This is why washing hands is so effective against droplet spread.

Aerosol transmission is thought to be a much less frequent cause of transmission, mainly due to the very small viral load (given the aerosol itself is by definition very small). However, it is clearly more concerning as these very light particles can travel large distances. That said, it is thought to only play a minor role in transmission compared to droplet spread.

During the COVID-19 pandemic, PHE (Public Health England) updated guidance on what it considers (AGPs) Aerosol Generating Procedures. Included within this list were examinations of the upper aerodigestive tract in ENT. Healthcare workers were recommended to reduce endoscopy of the nose and throat and essential

examination had to be performed using high level PPE including an respirator (N95 or FFP3).²

Anfinrud et al³ graphically represented a visual reduction in aerosol production by creating light sheet from a 532-nm green LASER. Comparisons were made between a person talking with and without a cover for the mouth, in their instance, a slightly dampened washcloth. Light flashes were recorded to evaluate the number of droplets ranging between 5-200 microns. They showed that by covering the mouth, virtually no light flashes were seen. This observation supports the well-known concept that covering the mouth does indeed reduce droplet production.

On impact with smooth surfaces, droplets disperse to smaller sizes and can aerosolise. Similarly, impact onto soft surfaces absorbs droplets reducing their projection as well as the tendency to aerosolise.³

As the pandemic plateaus in countries across the world, various strategies are to be considered to return to a new normal. This would facilitate the resumption of diagnostic services whilst maintaining the protection to healthcare workers. One suggestion is the use of facemasks to help reduce the risk of inadvertent droplet dispersion.² Despite the “soft surface” barrier masks create, in the ENT setting, facemasks obscure access to the nasal cavity thus preventing nasoendoscopy.

The “SNAP” (Safe Nasoendoscopic Airway Procedure) developed by endoscope-i Ltd (West Midlands, UK) is a single-use, valved endoscopic port, retrofitted to any surgical mask (Figure 1), permitting entry of a 4 mm flexible and rigid endoscope to examine the naso and pharyngolarynx. The valve, a 10.9-mm cylindrical tube truncated by two opposing 45 degree inclined membranes

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2020 The Authors. *Clinical Otolaryngology* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd

et al calculator,⁴ 40% of referrals were redirected back to the GP. The remaining 60% either went direct for imaging or underwent endoscopy. In total, 40 cases were endoscoped, nine of which using the SNAP. All 9 cases scoped with the SNAP were completed without any adverse effect. No cough or sneeze was elicited during any of the examinations, and observations between the two groups were identical. Subsequently, one consultant lead FEES examination was performed under controlled conditions. Again, the procedure was completed without any complications. The patient self-remarked on the comfort of the endoscopy as a result of the stability provided by the SNAP device in the alar region which prevented inadvertent movement during the chin-tuck and head-turn manoeuvres.

Our observations demonstrate the SNAP device is a practical and safe tool to aid reduction in droplet dispersion whilst performing nasoendoscopy. We hope to see the inclusion of such a device in recovery guidelines by national bodies in order to facilitate the return of safe nasoendoscopy in the post-COVID pandemic era.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

All three authors have declared and signed the COI form stating their involvement in endoscope-I Ltd who have patented, designed and created the SNAP.

ORCID

Ajith George  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5132-0915>

Mark Prince  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3709-099X>

Chris Coulson  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3991-5473>

REFERENCES

1. Siegel J, Rhinehart E, Jackson M, et al. 2007 Guideline for isolation precautions: preventing transmissions for infectious agents in health-care settings. *Am J Infect Control*. 2007;35:S65-S164.
2. Rameau A, Young V, Amin M, et al. Flexible laryngoscopy and COVID-19. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. 2020;162:813-815.
3. Howland C, Antkowiak A, Castrejon-Pita R, et al. It's Harder to Splash on Soft Solids. *Phys Rev Lett*. 2016;117. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.184502>
4. Tikka T, Pracy P, Paleri V. Refining the head and neck cancer referral guidelines: a two-centre analysis of 4715 referrals. *Clin Otolaryngol*. 2016;41:66-75.